

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/671,342 09/25/2003		Gary S. Godwin	57665/03-396	7067		
22206	22206 7590 03/21/2006 EXAMINER					
FELLERS SI	NIDER BLANKENSF	AYRES, TIMOT	AYRES, TIMOTHY MICHAEL			
BAILEY & TI	PPENS		/-			
THE KENNEI	DY BUILDING	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800			3637			
TULSA, OK	74103-3318					

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	:
10/671,342		GODWIN, GARY S.	
Examiner	:	Art Unit	
Timothy M. Ayres	:	3637	:

	Timothy M. Ayres	3637	:
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		: .
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH (06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	:		; ;
Claim(s) objected to:	; :		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 17-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>:</u> :		: :
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		No(s)	
	LANNA MAI		: :
unn	Lanna Mai		
3/17/06 S	upervisory patent examiner	₹	· !
1 1	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600		: .

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amednment to claim 11 and in particular the addition of the vertical and horziontal direction of stabilization the support provides for the support arm raises new issues that would require further considerations.